

Support Vector Guided Dictionary Learning

Sijia Cai^{1,3}, Wangmeng Zuo², Lei Zhang^{3*}, Xiangchu Feng⁴, and Ping Wang¹

¹School of Science, Tianjin University

²School of Computer Science and Technology, Harbin Institute of Technology

³Dept. of Computing, The Hong Kong Polytechnic University

⁴Dept. of Applied Mathematics, Xidian University

cssjcai@gmail.com, cslzhang@comp.polyu.edu.hk

Appendix A: Proof of Lemma 1

Lemma 1. Denote by \bar{z}_c and \bar{z} the mean vectors of Z_c and Z , respectively, where Z_c is the set of coding vectors of samples from class c . Then $\mathcal{L}(Z)$ in FDDL is equivalent to the weighted sum of the squared distances of pairs of coding vectors:

$$\mathcal{L}(Z) = \sum_{c=1}^C \left(\sum_{y_i=c, y_j=c} \left(\frac{1}{n_c} - \frac{1}{2n} \right) \|z_i - z_j\|_2^2 + \sum_{y_i=c, y_j \neq c} \left(-\frac{1}{2n} \right) \|z_i - z_j\|_2^2 \right).$$

Proof: The Fisher discrimination criterion $\mathcal{L}(Z)$ adopted in FDDL [18] can be formulated into the following equivalent form:

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{L}(Z) &= \text{tr}(S_W(Z)) - \text{tr}(S_B(Z)) \\ &= \sum_{c=1}^C \sum_{y_i=c} \|z_i - \bar{z}_c\|_2^2 - \sum_{c=1}^C n_c \|\bar{z}_c - \bar{z}\|_2^2 \\ &= \sum_{c=1}^C \sum_{y_i=c} (\|z_i\|_2^2 - 2z_i^T \bar{z}_c + \|\bar{z}_c\|_2^2) - \sum_{c=1}^C n_c (\|\bar{z}_c\|_2^2 - 2\bar{z}_c^T \bar{z} + \|\bar{z}\|_2^2) \\ &= \sum_{c=1}^C \left(\sum_{y_i=c} \|z_i\|_2^2 - 2 \left(\sum_{y_i=c} z_i \right)^T \bar{z}_c + n_c \|\bar{z}_c\|_2^2 \right) - \left(\sum_{c=1}^C n_c \|\bar{z}_c\|_2^2 - 2 \left(\sum_{c=1}^C n_c \bar{z}_c \right)^T \bar{z} + n \|\bar{z}\|_2^2 \right) \\ &= \sum_{c=1}^C \left(\sum_{y_i=c} \|z_i\|_2^2 - n_c \|\bar{z}_c\|_2^2 \right) - \left(\sum_{c=1}^C n_c \|\bar{z}_c\|_2^2 - n \|\bar{z}\|_2^2 \right) \\ &= 2 \sum_{c=1}^C \left(\sum_{y_i=c} \|z_i\|_2^2 - n_c \|\bar{z}_c\|_2^2 \right) - \left(\sum_{c=1}^C \sum_{y_i=c} \|z_i\|_2^2 - n \|\bar{z}\|_2^2 \right) \\ &= 2 \sum_{c=1}^C \left(\sum_{y_i=c} \|z_i\|_2^2 - n_c \|\bar{z}_c\|_2^2 \right) - \left(\sum_i \|z_i\|_2^2 - n \|\bar{z}\|_2^2 \right). \end{aligned}$$

* Corresponding author.

It is easy to see that:

$$\begin{aligned}
\sum_{i,j} \frac{1}{2n} \|z_i - z_j\|_2^2 &= \frac{1}{2n} \sum_{i,j} (\|z_i\|_2^2 - 2z_i^T z_j + \|z_j\|_2^2) \\
&= \frac{1}{2n} (2n \sum_i \|z_i\|_2^2 - 2(\sum_i z_i)^T (\sum_j z_j)) \\
&= \frac{1}{2n} (2n \sum_i \|z_i\|_2^2 - 2n^2 \|\bar{z}\|_2^2) \\
&= \sum_i \|z_i\|_2^2 - n \|\bar{z}\|_2^2.
\end{aligned}$$

The above equation can also be applied to each class c , i.e.,

$$\sum_{y_i=c, y_j=c} \frac{1}{2n_c} \|z_i - z_j\|_2^2 = \sum_{y_i=c} \|z_i\|_2^2 - n_c \|\bar{z}_c\|_2^2.$$

Finally, the Fisher discrimination criterion $\mathcal{L}(Z)$ can be written as:

$$\begin{aligned}
\mathcal{L}(Z) &= \sum_{c=1}^C \sum_{y_i=c, y_j=c} \frac{1}{n_c} \|z_i - z_j\|_2^2 - \sum_{i,j} \frac{1}{2n} \|z_i - z_j\|_2^2 \\
&= \sum_{c=1}^C \left(\sum_{y_i=c, y_j=c} \left(\frac{1}{n_c} - \frac{1}{2n} \right) \|z_i - z_j\|_2^2 + \sum_{y_i=c, y_j \neq c} \left(-\frac{1}{2n} \right) \|z_i - z_j\|_2^2 \right).
\end{aligned}$$

The proof is completed.

Appendix B: Proof of Lemma 2

Lemma 2. Let $w_{ij}(\beta) = y_i y_j \beta_i \beta_j$. If $\sum_{j=1}^n y_j \beta_j = 0$, then the discrimination term $\mathcal{L}(Z)$ can be written as:

$$\mathcal{L}(Z, w_{ij}(\beta)) = -2 \sum_{i,j} y_i y_j \beta_i \beta_j z_i^T z_j = \beta^T K \beta,$$

where K is the negative semidefinite matrix.

Proof: $w_{ij}(\beta) = y_i y_j \beta_i \beta_j$ is a specific parameterization of w_{ij} . To satisfy the balance property, we let $\sum_{j=1}^n y_j \beta_j = 0$, which leads to:

$$\begin{aligned}
\mathcal{L}(Z, w_{ij}(\beta)) &= \sum_{i,j} \|z_i - z_j\|_2^2 w_{ij}(\beta) \\
&= \sum_{i,j} y_i y_j \beta_i \beta_j (\|z_i\|_2^2 + \|z_j\|_2^2 - 2z_i^T z_j) \\
&= -2 \sum_{i,j} y_i y_j \beta_i \beta_j z_i^T z_j \\
&= \beta^T K \beta,
\end{aligned}$$

where $K = -2(Z * \text{diag}(y))^T(Z * \text{diag}(y))$ and $\text{diag}(y)$ is the diagonalization of class label vector $y = [y_1, y_2, \dots, y_n]$. According to the Cholesky decomposition, we can see that K is a negative semidefinite matrix, leading the conclusion of **Lemma 2**.