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Overview

- Introduction
- Various Scheduling algorithms
  - FCFS
  - SSTF
  - SCAN Scheduling
  - C-SCAN Scheduling
  - LOOK Scheduling
Disk Scheduling

- What is disk scheduling?
  - Servicing the disk I/O requests

- Why disk Scheduling?
  - Use hardware efficiently

- Includes
  - Fast access time (seek time + rotational latency)
  - Large disk bandwidth
Disc Scheduling

- I/O request issues a system call to the OS.
  - If desired disk drive or controller is available, request is served immediately.
  - If busy, new request for service will be placed in the queue of pending requests. When one request is completed, the OS has to choose which pending request to service next.
FCFS Scheduling

- Simplest, perform operations in order requested
- no reordering of work queue
- no starvation: every request is serviced
- Doesn’t provide fastest service
- Ex: a disk queue with requests for I/O to blocks on cylinders
  23, 89, 132, 42, 187
- With disk head initially at 100
FCFS

23, 89, 132, 42, 187

77+66+43+90+145=421

If the requests for cylinders 23 and 42 could be serviced together, total head movement could be decreased substantially.
SSTF Scheduling

- Like SJF, select the disk I/O request that requires the least movement of the disk arm from its current position, regardless of direction.
- reduces total seek time compared to FCFS.

Disadvantages

- **starvation** is possible; stay in one area of the disk if very busy.
- switching directions slows things down.
- Not the most optimal.
SSTF

23, 89, 132, 42, 187

11+43+55+145+19=273
SCAN

- go from the outside to the inside servicing requests and then back from the outside to the inside servicing requests.
- Sometimes called the elevator algorithm.
- Reduces variance compared to SSTF.
- If a request arrives in the queue
  - just in front of the head
  - Just behind
23, 89, 132, 42, 187

11+47+19+23+132+55=287
C-SCAN

- Circular SCAN
  - moves inwards servicing requests until it reaches the innermost cylinder; then jumps to the outside cylinder of the disk without servicing any requests.

- Why C-SCAN?
  - Few requests are in front of the head, since these cylinders have recently been serviced. Hence provides a more uniform wait time.
C-SCAN

23, 89, 132, 42, 187

11+47+19+23+199+12+55=366

Head movement can be reduced if the request for cylinder 187 is serviced directly after request at 23 without going to the disk 0
LOOK

- like SCAN but stops moving inwards (or outwards) when no more requests in that direction exist
LOOK

23, 89, 132, 42, 187

11 + 47 + 19 + 109 + 55 = 241

Compared to SCAN, LOOK saves going from 23 to 0 and then back. Most efficient for this sequence of requests.
Which one to choose?

- Performance depends on number and type of requests.
- SSTF over FCFS.
- SCAN, C-SCAN for systems that place a heavy load on the disk, as they are less likely to cause starvation.
- Default algorithms, SSTF or LOOK
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